

TRANSMITTAL FORM

Application Serial Number	10/002,909
Filing Date	November 2, 2001
First Named Inventor	Yuan
Group Art Unit	2814
Examiner Name	Nguyen, Dilinh P.
Attorney Docket No.	MUL-003 (5551/10)
Patent No.	Not applicable
Issue Date	Not applicable

ENCLOSURES (check all that apply)

- | | | |
|--|--|---|
| <input type="checkbox"/> Fee Transmittal Form
<input type="checkbox"/> Check Attached
<input type="checkbox"/> Copy of Fee Transmittal Form

<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Response to Office Action (4 pgs.)
<input type="checkbox"/> Preliminary
<input type="checkbox"/> After Final
<input type="checkbox"/> Affidavits/declaration(s)
<input type="checkbox"/> Letter to Official Draftsperson including Drawings [Total Sheets ____]

<input type="checkbox"/> Petition for Extension of Time

<input type="checkbox"/> Information Disclosure Statement
<input type="checkbox"/> Form PTO-1449
<input type="checkbox"/> Copies of IDS Citations

<input type="checkbox"/> Certified Copy of Priority Document(s)

<input type="checkbox"/> Sequence Listing submission
<input type="checkbox"/> Paper Copy/CD
<input type="checkbox"/> Computer Readable Copy
<input type="checkbox"/> Statement verifying identity of above | <input type="checkbox"/> Copy of Notice to File Missing Parts of Application (PTO-1553)

<input type="checkbox"/> Formal Drawing(s)

<input type="checkbox"/> Request For Continued Examination (RCE) Transmittal

<input type="checkbox"/> Power of Attorney (Revocation of Prior Powers)

<input type="checkbox"/> Terminal Disclaimer

<input type="checkbox"/> Executed Declaration and Power of Attorney for Utility or Design Patent Application

<input type="checkbox"/> Small Entity Statement

<input type="checkbox"/> CD(s) for large table or computer program

<input type="checkbox"/> Amendment After Allowance

<input type="checkbox"/> Request for Certificate of Correction
<input type="checkbox"/> Certificate of Correction (in duplicate) | <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Appeal to Board of Patent Appeals and Interferences

<input type="checkbox"/> Appeal Brief (in triplicate)

<input type="checkbox"/> Status Inquiry


<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Return Receipt Postcard
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Certificate of First Class Mailing under 37 C.F.R. 1.8
<input type="checkbox"/> Certificate of Facsimile Transmission under 37 C.F.R. 1.8
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Additional Enclosure(s) (please identify below)

• Associate Power of Attorney (1 pg.) |
|--|--|---|

CORRESPONDENCE ADDRESS

Direct all correspondence to: Patent Administrator
Testa, Hurwitz & Thibault, LLP
High Street Tower
125 High Street
Boston, MA 02110
Tel. No.: (617) 248-7000
Fax No.: (617) 248-7100

SIGNATURE BLOCK

Respectfully submitted,

James E. Fajkowski
Attorney for Applicant
Testa, Hurwitz & Thibault, LLP
High Street Tower
125 High Street
Boston, MA 02110

Date: August 6, 2003
Reg. No.: 54,089
Tel. No.: (617) 310-8414
Fax No.: (617) 248-7100



PATENT
Attorney Docket No. MUL-003
(5551/10)

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

APPLICANT: Yuan
SERIAL NO.: 10/002,909 GROUP NO.: 2814
FILING DATE: November 2, 2001 EXAMINER: Nguyen, Dilinh P
TITLE: SHALLOW-MESA STRUCTURE FOR PLANAR PIN AND APD
PHOTODIODES

RECEIVED
AUG 12 2003
TECHNICAL CENTER 2800

Mail Stop Non-Fee Amendment
Commissioner for Patents
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

RESPONSE

Dear Sir/Madam:

In response to the Office action mailed from the U.S. Patent Office on May 8, 2003, Applicant submits this Response. Applicant respectfully requests that the remarks that follow be considered and that the rejections and objection made in the Office action be withdrawn. Applicant believes that no fees are due. Should Applicant be in error, and additional time for responding to this Office action is required, please consider this paper as a conditional petition for an extension of time and conditional authorization to charge fees to Deposit Account No. 20-0531.

Claims 1-7 are pending in the application. Claims 8-15 are no longer pending having been withdrawn from further consideration in response to the restriction requirement mailed January 24, 2003. Claims 1-7 stand rejected. Further, the Examiner has objected to claim 4.